

**CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM**  
**SOUTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF A.P LIMITED,**  
**TIRUPATI**

**This the 09<sup>th</sup> day of January' 2024**

**C.G.No.41/2023-24/Anantapur Circle**

**CHAIRPERSON**            **Sri. V. Srinivasa Anjaneya Murthy**  
**Former Principal District Judge**

**Members Present**

<b>Sri. K. Ramamohan Rao</b>	<b>Member (Finance)</b>
<b>Sri. S.L. Anjani Kumar</b>	<b>Member (Technical)</b>
<b>Smt. G. Eswaramma</b>	<b>Member (Independent)</b>

***Between***

C. Khaja, D.No. 25-298, Assar Street,  
Old Town, Anantapur.

Complainant

***AND***

1. Dy. Executive Engineer/O/Anantapur
2. Executive Engineer/O/Anantapur
3. Executive Engineer/Construction-1/Anantapur

Respondents

This complaint came up for final hearing before this Forum through video conferencing on 03.01.2024 in the presence of the complainant and respondents and having considered the material placed by both the parties, this Forum passed the following:

**ORDER**

01. The complainant filed the complaint stating that the respondents erected four line tower in his land in Sy.No.640/7 without his knowledge and he requested to direct the respondents to remove the said tower.



02. The said complaint was registered as C.G.No.41/2023-24 and notices were issued to the respondents calling for their response. The respondents submitted their response stating that they have erected the electrical tower on the road side which is not the private land of the complainant.
03. Heard the complainant and respondents through video conferencing.
04. Now the point for determination is:

*“Whether the complaint is maintainable  
and whether the complainant is  
entitled to the relief claimed ”?*

05. **Point:** The claim of the complainant is that the respondents erected an electrical tower in his private land whereas the contention of the respondents is that the site in which they raised the electric tower is not the private land of the complainant and it is a road margin. Since the respondents already erected the tower in the disputed place and the complainant since claiming ownership over the disputed place, this Forum opine that there is a title dispute between the parties which is to be resolved by a competent Civil Court only. Hence, the complainant, if so advised, may approach a competent Civil Court for determination of his ownership rights over the disputed site and for recovery of possession of the said site from the respondents after removal of the electric tower.



06. Hence, this complaint is not maintainable before this Forum and is liable to be dismissed. Accordingly, the point is answered.
07. In the result, the complaint is dismissed. There is no order as to costs.
08. The complainant is informed that if he is aggrieved by the order of the Forum, he may approach the Hon'ble Vidyut Ombudsman, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Plot.No.38, Adjacent to Kesineni Admin Office, Sriramachandra Nagar, Mahanadu Road, Vijayawada-08 in terms of Clause.13 of Regulation.No.3 of 2016 of Hon'ble APERC within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order and the prescribed format is available in the website vidyutombudsman.ap.gov.in.

Typed to dictation by the computer operator-2 corrected and pronounced in the open Forum on this 09<sup>th</sup> day of January'2024.

*[Handwritten Signature]* 09/01/24

**CHAIRPERSON**

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
Member (Finance)  
09/01/2024

*[Handwritten Signature]* 9/1  
Member (Technical)

*[Handwritten Signature]* 9/1/2024  
Member (Independent)

**Documents marked**

**For the complainant: Nil**

**For the respondents: Nil**

**Copy to the**

**Complainant and All the Respondents**

**Copy Submitted to**

**The Chairman & Managing Director/Corporate  
Office/APSPDCL/ Tirupati.**

**The Hon'ble Vidyut Ombudsman, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Plot No.38,  
Sriramachandra Nagar, Vijayawada-08.**

**The Secretary/Hon'ble APERC/Hyderabad-04.**

**The Stock file.**

*Handwritten signature in green ink.*

*Handwritten signature in blue ink.*

*CHAIRMAN*